

On the context-freeness of the set of words containing overlaps

Narad Rampersad
 David R. Cheriton School of Computer Science
 University of Waterloo
 Waterloo, Ontario N2L 3G1 (Canada)
 nrampersad@math.uwaterloo.ca

February 2, 2008

Abstract

We show that the set of binary words containing overlaps is not unambiguously context-free and that the set of ternary words containing overlaps is not context-free. We also show that the set of binary words that are not subwords of the Thue–Morse word is not unambiguously context-free.

1 Introduction

An *overlap* is a word of the form $axaxa$, where a is a single letter and x is a (possibly empty) word. A word is *overlap-free* if it does not contain an overlap as a subword. Let $L_o(k)$ denote the language of all words over the alphabet $\{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$ that contain an overlap as a subword.

By applying the interchange lemma [30, 34], Gabarró [20] proved that for $k \geq 4$, $L_o(k)$ is not context-free, thus partially solving an open problem of Berstel [5]. Since the work of Gabarró, it has remained an open problem to determine whether or not $L_o(2)$ and $L_o(3)$ are context-free. We show that $L_o(2)$ is not unambiguously context-free and that $L_o(3)$ is not context-free. We also show that the set of binary words that are not subwords of the Thue–Morse word is not unambiguously context-free.

2 Overlap-free words

In this section we review some standard results concerning binary overlap-free words.

Let μ denote the *Thue-Morse morphism*, that is, the morphism that maps $0 \rightarrow 01$ and $1 \rightarrow 10$. The *Thue-Morse word*

$$\mathbf{t} = \mu^\omega(0) = 0110100110010110 \dots$$

is well-known to be overlap-free [28, 37].

Let

$$A = \{00, 11, 010010, 101101\}.$$

Pansiot [31] and Brlek [6] proved the set of squares in $\mathbf{t}$ is exactly

$$\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{k \geq 0} \mu^k(A).$$

Using this result, one easily shows (see, for example, [13]) that for any position i , there is at most one square in $\mathbf{t}$ beginning at position i .

3 Binary words containing overlaps

Theorem 1. *The language $L_o(2)$ is not unambiguously context-free.*

Proof. We will need the following result due to Fatou [15] (a more convenient reference may be [32, Part VIII, Chap. 3, No. 167]; a stronger result was conjectured by Pólya and proved by Carlson [7]): *A power series $\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n z^n$ with integer coefficients and radius of convergence 1 is either rational or transcendental over $\mathbb{Q}(X)$.*

Let

$$F(X) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n X^n$$

be the generating series of the overlap-free words. That is, a_n is the number of overlap-free words of length n over a two letter alphabet. By the Chomsky–Schützenberger Theorem [9] (see [24, Chap. 16] for a proof; see also, for example, [2, 16] for applications to other languages), if $L_o(2)$ is unambiguously context-free, then $F(X)$ is algebraic over $\mathbb{Q}(X)$. To prove the theorem it suffices then to show that $F(X)$ is transcendental.

We will need the following result due to Lepistö [26] on the enumeration of overlap-free words (compare also the earlier work of Restivo and Salemi [33], Kfoury [22], Kobayashi [23], and Cassaigne [8]):

$$a_n = \Omega(n^{1.217}) \quad \text{and} \quad a_n = O(n^{1.369}). \quad (1)$$

Since $a_n = O(n^{1.369})$, $F(X)$, as a complex power series, has radius of convergence 1, and so by Fatou’s theorem is either rational or transcendental over $\mathbb{Q}(X)$. To complete the proof we must show that $F(X)$ is not rational. If $F(X)$ were rational, then the coefficients a_n could be written in the form

$$a_n = \sum_{i=1}^m A_i(n) \alpha_i^n,$$

for some m , where α_i is a characteristic root of multiplicity n_i of the linear recurrence satisfied by $(a_n)_{n \geq 0}$, and $A_i(X)$ is a polynomial of degree at most $n_i - 1$ (see [14, Section 1.1.6]). But from (1) we see that this is not possible, so $F(X)$ is not rational and the proof is complete. $\square$

We conclude this section by considering a variation on the language $L_o(k)$. Given a word w , and writing $w = xy$, we say the word yx is a *conjugate* of w . Let $\widetilde{L}_o(k)$ denote the language of all words w over the alphabet $\{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$ such that some conjugate of w contains an overlap as a subword.

Theorem 2. *The language $\widetilde{L}_o(2)$ is not unambiguously context-free.*

Proof. Harju [21] showed that the binary circular overlap-free words have lengths of the form 2^n or $3 \cdot 2^n$, $n \geq 0$. The generating series of the complement of $\widetilde{L}_o(2)$ is thus a so-called “gap series” (or “lacunary series”). By Hadamard’s gap theorem [35, Theorem 16.6] it admits its circle of convergence as a natural boundary and hence is transcendental. Applying the Chomsky–Schützenberger Theorem, we conclude that $\widetilde{L}_o(2)$ is not unambiguously context-free. $\square$

4 Ternary words containing overlaps

In this section we adapt the argument of Gabarró [20] to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3. *The language $L_o(3)$ is not context-free.*

Before beginning the proof, we recall the interchange lemma [30].

Theorem 4 (Ogden, Ross, and Winklmann). *Let $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ be a context-free language. There exists a constant c , depending only on L , such that for all $n \geq 2$, all subsets $R \subseteq L \cap \Sigma^n$, and all m , $2 \leq m \leq n$, there exists a subset $Z \subseteq R$, $Z = \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k\}$, such that*

- (a) $k \geq \frac{|R|}{c(n+1)^2}$;
- (b) $z_i = w_i x_i y_i$, $1 \leq i \leq k$;
- (c) $|w_1| = |w_2| = \dots = |w_k|$;
- (d) $|y_1| = |y_2| = \dots = |y_k|$;
- (e) $m/2 \leq |x_1| = |x_2| = \dots = |x_k| \leq m$;
- (f) $w_i x_j y_i \in L$, $1 \leq i, j \leq k$.

Proof of Theorem 3. Let $n = 2^{2k+1} + 1$ for some $k \geq 0$. Let $x = \mu^{2k}(0)$ and let $w = 0xx$. Then w is an overlap, but no proper subword of w is an overlap. To see this, note that xx is a subword of the Thue–Morse word and is therefore overlap-free. Any overlap contained in w must therefore begin from the first position of w . If w begins with two distinct overlaps, then xx begins with two distinct squares, contradicting the observation made in Section 2.

Suppose that $L_o(3)$ is context-free. Let ψ be the morphism defined by $\psi(0) = 0$ and $\psi(1) = \psi(2) = 1$. Define

$$R = \{0yy : y \in \psi^{-1}(x)\}.$$

Note that $|R| = 2^{(n-1)/4}$. Applying the interchange lemma, we see that there exists $Z \subseteq R$ with

$$|Z| \geq \frac{2^{(n-1)/4}}{c(n+1)^2}. \quad (2)$$

Choosing $m = (n-1)/2$, and recalling that if $z_i = w_i x_i y_i \in Z$, then $m/2 \leq |x_i| \leq m$, we see that $w_i x_j y_i \in L$ only if $x_i = x_j$. Fixing x_i , we easily verify that there are at most $2^{(n-1)/8}$ words $w_j x_j y_j$ with $x_i = x_j$, so that $|Z| \leq 2^{(n-1)/8}$, contradicting (2) for n sufficiently large. This concludes the proof. $\square$

5 Generalized Thue–Morse words

In this section we show that the set of binary words that are not subwords of the Thue–Morse word $\mathbf{t}$ is not unambiguously context-free. We also show that this result holds for generalized Thue–Morse words as well.

For an infinite word $\mathbf{w}$, let $p_{\mathbf{w}}(n)$ denote the *subword complexity function* of $\mathbf{w}$. That is, the value of $p_{\mathbf{w}}(n)$ is equal to the number of subwords of length n that occur in $\mathbf{w}$. Let $L_{\mathbf{w}}$ denote the set of words over the alphabet of $\mathbf{w}$ that are not subwords of $\mathbf{w}$.

Brlek [6] and de Luca and Varricchio [27] (see also the subsequent work of Avgustinovich [4], Tapsoba [36], Frid [17], and Tromp and Shallit [38]) determined that

$$p_{\mathbf{t}}(n+1) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } n = 0, \\ 4 & \text{if } n = 1, \\ 4n - 2^a & \text{if } n = 2^a + b, \text{ where } a \geq 1, 0 \leq b < 2^{a-1}, \\ 4n - 2^a - 2b & \text{if } n = 2^a + 2^{a-1} + b, \text{ where } a \geq 1, 0 \leq b < 2^{a-1}. \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

Based on this characterization, we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 5. *The language $L_{\mathbf{t}}$ is not unambiguously context-free.*

Proof. Let

$$F(X) = \sum_{n \geq 1} p_{\mathbf{t}}(n) X^n$$

be the generating series of the subwords of the Thue–Morse word. We show that $F(X)$ is transcendental over $\mathbb{Q}(X)$. Suppose to the contrary that $F(X)$ is algebraic. Then the series

$$G(X) = \sum_{n \geq 1} (p_{\mathbf{t}}(n+1) - p_{\mathbf{t}}(n)) X^n,$$

whose coefficients form the sequence of *first differences* of $p_{\mathbf{t}}(n)$, is also algebraic. Note that for all $n \geq 1$,

$$p_{\mathbf{t}}(n+1) - p_{\mathbf{t}}(n) \leq 4,$$

so that the coefficients of $G(X)$ are bounded. Applying Fatou's Theorem to $G(X)$, we see that $G(X)$ is either rational or transcendental. By assumption, $G(X)$ is algebraic, so it must be rational. But then the sequence

$$\Delta = (p_{\mathbf{t}}(n+1) - p_{\mathbf{t}}(n))_{n \geq 1}$$

is ultimately periodic. We easily verify from (3) that this is not the case: for instance, Δ contains arbitrarily large “runs” of 4's. This contradiction implies the transcendence of $F(X)$.

Alternatively, one may note that the series $H(X)$, whose coefficients form the sequence of *second differences* of $p_{\mathbf{t}}(n)$, is a gap series, and one may therefore apply Hadamard's gap theorem to $H(X)$.

The desired result follows by applying the Chomsky–Schützenberger Theorem. $\square$

Note: The use of analytic techniques in the proof of Theorem 5 may be avoided by applying instead the theorems of Christol [10, 11] and Cobham [12]. See the paper of Allouche [2] for some examples of this approach.

Next we consider generalizations of the Thue–Morse word. Let $s_2(n)$ denote the sum of the digits in the base-2 expansion of n . It is well known that the Thue–Morse word $\mathbf{t} = t(0)t(1)t(2)\cdots$ can be defined by $t(n) = s_2(n) \bmod 2$. For $k \geq 2$, we define the *generalized Thue–Morse word* $\mathbf{t}_k$ by $\mathbf{t}_k(n) = s_2(n) \bmod k$, so that $\mathbf{t} = \mathbf{t}_2$. Tromp and Shallit [38] characterized the subword complexity of these words as follows:

$$p_{\mathbf{t}_k}(n+1) = \begin{cases} k & \text{if } n = 0, \\ k^2 & \text{if } n = 1, \\ k(kn - 2^{a-1}) & \text{if } n = 2^a + b, \text{ where } a \geq 1, 0 \leq b < 2^{a-1}, \\ k(kn - 2^{a-1} - b) & \text{if } n = 2^a + 2^{a-1} + b, \text{ where } a \geq 1, 0 \leq b < 2^{a-1}. \end{cases}$$

One therefore proves the following result in a manner entirely analogous to that of Theorem 5.

Theorem 6. *For $k \geq 2$, the language $L_{\mathbf{t}_k}$ is not unambiguously context-free.*

6 Discussion and future work

To complete the work discussed here, it remains to determine whether or not the languages $L_o(2)$, $L_o(2)$, and $L_{\mathbf{t}_k}$ are context-free. We discuss some related issues below.

Mossé [29] and Frid [17, 18, 19] have written several papers showing that a large class of words generated by iterating morphisms have subword complexity functions that behave similarly to that of the Thue–Morse word; i.e., they are piecewise linear on exponentially growing intervals. The first difference sequence of such subword complexity functions is

therefore either constant or not ultimately periodic. If it were possible to characterize those words for which the latter situation occurs, one might generalize the argument of Theorem 5 to a larger class of words.

One may also apply this argument in cases where the subword complexity function is not linear. As an example, we may consider the generating series of the *paperfolding words*. Allouche and Bousquet-Mélou [3] have shown that the number $f(n)$ of subwords of length n of the paperfolding words is given by

$$f(n) = \begin{cases} 2^n & \text{if } 1 \leq n \leq 3, \\ 2^{a+1}n - 5 \cdot 4^{a-1} & \text{if } 2^a \leq n < 2^a + 2^{a-1}, \text{ where } a \geq 2, \\ 3 \cdot 2^a n - 11 \cdot 4^{a-1} & \text{if } 2^a + 2^{a-1} \leq n < 2^a + 2^{a-1} + 2^{a-2}, \text{ where } a \geq 2, \\ 2^{a+1}n - 4^a & \text{if } 2^a + 2^{a-1} + 2^{a-2} \leq n < 2^{a+1}, \text{ where } a \geq 2, \end{cases}$$

and they have shown that the corresponding generating function $F(X)$ is transcendental. We may also deduce the transcendence of $F(X)$ by considering the series $H(X)$, whose coefficients form the second difference sequence of $f(n)$. Noting that $H(X)$ is a gap series, we may apply Hadamard's gap theorem to derive the desired result.

Noting that $F(X)$, along with the other generating functions considered earlier, has coefficients that are polynomially bounded, we take this opportunity to mention a remarkable recent result of D'Alessandro, Intrigila, and Varricchio [1]: *If a context-free language has only polynomially many words of length n , then its generating function is rational.* Applying this result to the paperfolding words, for instance, one recovers a result of Lehr [25, 3], namely, that the set of subwords of the paperfolding words is not context-free.

7 Acknowledgments

Thanks to Jeffrey Shallit for reading an earlier draft of this work and offering some helpful suggestions.

References

- [1] F. D'Alessandro, B. Intrigila, S. Varricchio, "On the structure of the counting function of sparse context-free languages", *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **356** (2006), 104–117.
- [2] J.-P. Allouche, "Transcendence of formal power series with rational coefficients", *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **218** (1999), 143–160.
- [3] J.-P. Allouche, M. Bousquet-Mélou, "Canonical positions for the factors in paperfolding sequences", *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **129** (1994), 263–278.
- [4] S. V. Avgustinovich, "The number of distinct subwords of fixed length in the Morse–Hedlund sequence", *Sibirsk. Zh. Issled. Oper.* **1** (1994), 3–7.

- [5] J. Berstel, “Some recent results on square-free words”. In *Proceedings of STACS 84*, LNCS 166, pp. 14–25, Springer-Verlag, 1984.
- [6] S. Brlek, “Enumeration of factors in the Thue-Morse word”, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **24** (1989), 83–96.
- [7] F. Carlson, “Über Potenzreihen mit ganzzahligen Koeffizienten”, *Math. Zeitschrift* **9** (1921), 1–13.
- [8] J. Cassaigne, “Counting overlap-free binary words”. In *Proceedings of STACS 93*, LNCS 665, pp. 216–225, Springer-Verlag, 1993.
- [9] N. Chomsky, M.-P. Schützenberger, “The algebraic theory of context-free languages”. In P. Braffort, D. Hirschbert, editors, *Computer Programming and Formal Systems*, pp. 118–161, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1963.
- [10] G. Christol, “Ensembles presque périodiques k -reconnaissables”, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **9** (1979), 141–145.
- [11] G. Christol, T. Kamae, M. Mendès France, G. Rauzy, “Suites algébriques, automates et substitutions”, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **108** (1980), 401–419.
- [12] A. Cobham, “On the base-dependence of sets of numbers recognizable by finite automata”, *Math. Systems Theory* **3** (1969), 186–192.
- [13] J. Currie, N. Rampersad, J. Shallit, “Binary words containing infinitely many overlaps”, *Electron. J. Combinatorics* **13** (2006), #R82. Available online at http://www.combinatorics.org/Volume_13/Abstracts/v13i1r82.html.
- [14] G. Everest, A. van der Poorten, I. Shparlinski, T. Ward, *Recurrence sequences*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs 104, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.
- [15] P. Fatou, “Séries trigonométriques et séries de Taylor”, *Acta Math.* **30** (1906), 335–400.
- [16] P. Flajolet, “Analytic models and ambiguity of context-free languages”, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **49** (1987), 283–309.
- [17] A. E. Frid, “On the combinatorial complexity of iteratively generated symbol sequences”, *Diskretn. Anal. Issled. Oper. Ser. 1* **4** (1997), 53–59. English translation in *Discrete Appl. Math.* **114** (2001), 115–120.
- [18] A. E. Frid, “The subword complexity of fixed points of binary uniform morphisms”. In *Proceedings of FCT 97*, LNCS 1279, pp. 178–187, Springer-Verlag, 1997.
- [19] A. E. Frid, “On uniform DOL words”. In *Proceedings of STACS 98*, LNCS 1373, pp. 544–554, Springer-Verlag, 1998.

- [20] J. Gabarró, “Some applications of the interchange lemma”, *Bull. EATCS* **25** (1985), 19–21.
- [21] T. Harju, “On cyclically overlap-free words in binary alphabets”. In G. Rozenberg, A. Salomaa, editors, *The Book of L*, pp. 125–130, Springer-Verlag, 1986.
- [22] A.-J. Kfoury, “A linear-time algorithm to decided whether a binary word contains an overlap”, *RAIRO Inform. Théor. App.* **22** (1988), 135–145.
- [23] Y. Kobayashi, “Enumeration of irreducible binary words”, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **20** (1988), 221–232.
- [24] W. Kuich, A. Salomaa, *Semirings, Automata, Languages*, Springer-Verlag, 1986.
- [25] S. Lehr, “A result about languages concerning paperfolding sequences”, *Math. Systems Theory* **25** (1992), 309–313.
- [26] A. Lepistö, “A characterization of 2^+ -free words over a binary alphabet”, *TUCS Technical Report 74*, 1996.
- [27] A. de Luca, S. Varricchio, “Some combinatorial properties of the Thue–Morse sequence and a problem in semigroups”, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **63** (1989), 333–348.
- [28] M. Morse, G. Hedlund, “Unending chess, symbolic dynamics, and a problem in semi-groups”, *Duke Math. J.* **11** (1944), 1–7.
- [29] B. Mossé, “Reconnaissabilité des substitutions et complexité des suites automatiques”, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **124** (1996), 329–346.
- [30] W. Ogden, R. Ross, K. Winklmann, “An “interchange lemma” for context-free languages”, *SIAM J. Comput.* **14** (1985), 410–415.
- [31] J. J. Pansiot, “The Morse sequence and iterated morphisms”, *Inform. Process. Lett.* **12** (1981), 68–70.
- [32] G. Pólya, G. Szegő, *Aufgaben und Lehrsätze aus der Analysis. Band II.*, Springer-Verlag, 1971. English translation as *Problems and Theorems in Analysis II*, Springer-Verlag, 1998.
- [33] A. Restivo, S. Salemi, “Overlap-free words on two symbols”. In M. Nivat, D. Perrin, editors, *Automata on Infinite Words*, LNCS 192, pp. 198–206, Springer-Verlag, 1985.
- [34] R. Ross, K. Winklmann, “Repetitive strings are not context-free”, *RAIRO Inform. Théor. App.* **16** (1982), 191–199.
- [35] W. Rudin, *Real and Complex Analysis, 3rd ed.*, McGraw-Hill, 1986.

- [36] T. Tapsoba, “Automates calculant la complexité de suites automatiques”, *J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux* **6** (1994), 127–134.
- [37] A. Thue, “Über die gegenseitige Lage gleicher Teile gewisser Zeichenreihen”, *Kra. Vidensk. Selsk. Skrifter. I. Math. Nat. Kl.* **1** (1912), 1–67.
- [38] J. Tromp, J. Shallit, “Subword complexity of a generalized Thue–Morse word”, *Inform. Process. Lett.* **54** (1995), 313–316.